IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

JEFFRIE ALAN SUMMERS II, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SEA MAR COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS,

Defendant.

No. 22-2-00773-7 SEA

FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARD

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' (Plaintiff Jeffrie Alan Summers II and Class Representatives Alan Hall and Kristina Wright) unopposed motion requesting that the Court enter an Order granting final approval of the class action Settlement involving Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs" or "Settlement Class Representative") and Defendant Sea Mar Community Health Centers as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

Having reviewed and considered the Settlement Agreement; the motion for fees, costs and service award; the objection and response thereto; and the motion for final approval of the inwhich all counsel gave argument, settlement, and having conducted a Final Approval Hearing, the Court makes the findings and grants the relief set forth below approving the Settlement upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Final Order and Judgment.

THE COURT not being required to conduct a trial on the merits of the case or determine with certainty the factual and legal issues in dispute when determining whether to approve a proposed class action settlement; and

THE COURT makes the findings and conclusions hereinafter set forth for the limited purpose of determining whether the Settlement should be approved as being fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class;

IT IS ON THIS 20th day of December, 2022,

ORDERED that:

- 1. The Settlement involves allegations in Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint that Defendant failed to safeguard and protect the personally identifiable information and/or protected health information of its patients and that this alleged failure caused injuries to Plaintiffs and the Class.
- 2. The Settlement does not constitute an admission of liability by Defendant, and the Court expressly does not make any finding of liability or wrongdoing by Defendant.
- 3. Unless otherwise noted, words spelled in this Order with initial capital letters have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. On August 2, 2022, the Court entered an Order which, among other things: (a) approved the Notice to the Settlement Class, including approval of the form and manner of notice under the Notice Program set forth in the Settlement Agreement; (b) provisionally certified a class in this matter, including defining the class, appointed Plaintiffs as the Settlement Class Representatives, and appointed Settlement Class Counsel; (c) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (d) set deadlines for opt-outs and objections; (e) approved and appointed the Claims Administrator; and (f) set the date for the Final Approval Hearing.

5. In the Order Granting the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement Agreement, pursuant to Washington Civil Rule 23(b)(3) and 23(e), for settlement purposes only, the Court certified the Settlement Class, defined as follows:

All persons whose Private Information was maintained on Sea Mar's computer systems and/or network that was potentially compromised in the Data Incident.

The Settlement Class specifically excludes: (i) Defendant and its officers and directors; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly submit requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) any other Person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding, or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Data Incident or who pleads nolo contendre to any such charge; and (iv) members of the judiciary to whom this case is assigned, their families, and members of their staff.

- 6. The Court, having reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement submitted by the parties pursuant to Washington Civil Rule 23(e), grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement and defines the Settlement Class as defined therein and in the Preliminary Approval Order, and finds that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and meets the requirements of Washington Civil Rule 23.
- 7. The Settlement Agreement provides, in part, and subject to a more detailed description of the settlement terms in the Settlement Agreement, for:
 - a. A process for Settlement Class Members to submit claims for compensation that will be evaluated by a Claims Administrator mutually agreed upon by Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant.
 - b. Defendant to pay all Notice and Claims Administration costs.
 - c. Defendant to pay a Court-approved amount for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of Settlement Class Counsel of \$1,320,000.
 - d. Defendant to pay a Service Award of \$2,500 to each Settlement Class Representative.

- 8. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and are hereby approved, adopted, and incorporated by the Court. The Parties, their respective attorneys, and the Claims Administrator are hereby directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with this Order and the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Notice of the Final Approval Hearing, the proposed motion for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, and the proposed Service Award payment to Plaintiff have been provided to Settlement Class Members as directed by this Court's Orders, and an affidavit or declaration of the Settlement Administrator's compliance with the Notice Program has been filed with the Court.
- 10. The Court finds that such Notice as therein ordered, constitutes the best possible notice practicable under the circumstances and constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members in compliance with the requirements of Washington Civil Rule 23(c)(2).
- 11. As of the final date of the Opt-Out Period, 35 potential Settlement Class Members have submitted a valid Opt-Out Request to be excluded from the Settlement. The names of those persons are set forth in Exhibit G to the Settlement Administrator's Declaration filed in support of the Motion for Final Approval. Those persons are not bound by this Final Order and Judgment, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court has considered the objection raised to the Settlement and the response thereto, as well as all evidence received at the Final Approval Hearing relative to the objection.

 The Court denies the objection in its entirety, finding it lacks merit. balance however, the Court finds the Settlement to be fair.
- 13. The Court has considered all the documents filed in support of the Settlement, and has fully considered all matters raised, all exhibits and affidavits filed, all evidence received at the

, adequale reasonable.

2)01

8

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

Final Approval Hearing, all other papers and documents comprising the record herein, and all oral arguments presented to the Court.

- 14. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendant, the Claims Administrator, and the Claims Referee shall implement the Settlement in the manner and time frame as set forth therein.
- 15. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members release claims against Defendant and all Released Persons, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, as follows:

The Release. Upon the Effective Date, and in consideration of the Settlement benefits described herein, each of the Settlement Class Representatives and Participating Settlement Class Members, and each of their spouses and children with claims on behalf to the Settlement Class member guardians and wards, and each of their respective heirs, executors, administrators, estates, representatives, agents, partners, predecessors, successors, co-borrowers, co-obligors, co-debtors, legal representative, attorneys, and assigns and all who claims through them or who assert claims (or could assert claims) on their behalf (including the government in the capacity as parens patrae or on behalf of creditors or estates of the releasers) shall, be deemed to have, and by operation of Judgment shall have released, acquitted, relinquished, and forever discharged any and all Released Claims against Sea Mar and its present and former predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, Related Entities, departments, and any and all of their respective past, present, and future officers, directors, employees, stockholders, partners, servants, agents, successors, attorneys, advisors, consultants, representatives, insurers, reinsurers, subrogees and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of the foregoing. The relief stated above will be provided to Class Members as consideration for a general release of Sea Mar for all claims and causes of action pleaded or that could have been pleaded that are related in any way to the activities stemming from the Sea Mar Data Incident described in the operative Complaint.

Released Claims shall not include the right of any Settlement Class Member or any of the Released Persons to enforce the terms of the Settlement contained in this Settlement Agreement and shall not include the claims of those persons identified in Exhibit G to the Settlement Administrator's Declaration who have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.

- 16. On the Effective Date and in consideration of the promises and covenants set forth in this Settlement Agreement, (i) Plaintiff and each Settlement Class Member, and each of their respective spouses and children with claims on behalf of the Settlement Class Member, executors, representatives, guardians, wards, heirs, estates, successors, predecessors, next friends, coborrowers, co-obligors, co-debtors, legal representatives, attorneys, agents, and assigns, and all those who claim through them or who assert claims (or could assert claims) on their behalf (including the government in the capacity as parens patriae or on behalf of creditors or estates of the releasors), and each of them (collectively and individually, the "Releasing Persons"), and (ii) Settlement Class Counsel and each of their past and present law firms, partners, or other employers, employees, agents, representatives, successors, or assigns will be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Order and Judgment shall have, fully, finally, completely, and forever released and discharged the Released Persons from the Released Claims.
- 17. The matter is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs except that the Court reserves jurisdiction over the consummation and enforcement of the Settlement.
- 18. In accordance with Washington Civil Rule 23, this Final Order and Judgment resolves all claims against all parties in this Action and is a final order. There is no just reason to delay the entry of final judgment in this matter, and the Clerk is directed to file this Order as the final judgment in this matter.

Done and ordered this 20th day of Vecenter, 2022.

THE HONORABLE SUZANNE R. PARISIEN

1	Presented by:
2	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO
3	LLP
4	By: <u>/s/ Thomas E. Loeser</u> THOMAS E. LOESER (WSB# 38701)
5	1301 Second Ave, Suite 2000
6	Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 623-7292
7	Email: toml@hbsslaw.com
8	John A. Yanchunis
9	(Pro Hac Vice application to be filed) RYAN D. MAXEY
10	(Pro Hac Vice application to be filed) MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX
11	LITIGATION GROUP
12	201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602
3	Phone: (813) 223-5505 Email: jyanchunis@ForThePeople.com
4	Email: rmaxey@ForThePeople.com
5	Gary M. Klinger (pro hac vice)
6	MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC
7	227 W. Monroe Street, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606
8	Phone: 866.252.0878
9	Email: gklinger@milberg.com Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement
20	Class
21	Approved as to form:
	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &
22	SMITH LLP
23	
24	By: s/ Randy Aliment Randy J. Aliment, WSBA # 11440
25	Kathleen A. Nelson, WSBA # 22826
6	1111 Third Avenue, Suite 2700 Seattle, Washington 98101
7	Phone: (206) 436-2020

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT - 8

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
4	The undersigned hereby certifies that he is an employee in the law offices of Hagens
5	Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, and is a person of such age and discretion as to be competent to serve papers.
6	On the date set forth below, I caused to be served [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION
7	SETTLEMENT AND GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARD by arranging for a copy to be delivered on the interested
8	parties in said action in the manner described below, addressed as follows:
9	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP □ Via CM/ECF
10	Aryn Seiler Kathleen A. Nelson Keiler Kathleen A. Nelson
11	1111 Third Avenue, Suite 2700
12	Phone: (206) 436-2020
13	E-mail: aryn.seiler@lewisbrisbois.com E-mail: kathleen.nelson@lewisbrisbois.com
14	Attorneys for Defendants
15	
16	DATED this 23rd day of November, 2022.
17	s/ Carrie L. Flexer
18	Carrie L. Flexer, Paralegal
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT - 9